



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Aduress: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION	NO. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/643,26	0 08/22/2000	Michael J. May	PPI-117	9021
959	7590 03/25		EXAMINER	
LAHIV	/E & COCKFIELD, L	MITRA, RITA		
28 STATE STREET BOSTON, MA 02109			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
возто	N, WIA 02109		1653	
			DATE MAILED: 03/25/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Ť ·		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		09/643,260	MAY ET AL.		
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Rita Mitra	1653		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address		
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuting received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a re bly within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' e. cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 L	<u> December 2003</u> .			
,	•—	s action is non-final.	·		
3)) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 19-22 and 28-46 is/are pending in th	e application.			
,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.			
5)🖂	Claim(s) 22 is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) 19-21 and 28-46 is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examina	er.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to I	by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyan	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing((s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	3 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	its have been received in A	pplication No		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prid	ority documents have been	received in this National Stage		
	application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not	received.		
Attach	M(c)				
Attachmer	nus) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) X Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)		
2) Notice	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date		
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	3) 5) ☐ Notice of Ir 6) ☐ Other:	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Art Unit: 1653

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the Claims

Applicants' amendment and response to office action dated June 6, 2003, filed on December 1, 2003 is acknowledged. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn. Claim 19 has been amended. New claims 28-46 have been added. Therefore, claims 19-22 and 28-46 are currently pending and are under examination

Response to Remarks and arguments

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 102

Rejection of claims 19-21 under 35 U.S.C. 102, over Adams et al. is withdrawn in view of Applicants' amendment to claim 19.

New grounds of Rejection

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, Second Paragraph

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 28-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 28-46 are indefinite because they are dependent from a canceled claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 1653

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 19-21, 29 remain/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by Rothe et al. (WO 99/01541, January 14, 1999). Rothe et al. teach an IKK-alpha protein, which has 100% sequence identity to SEQ ID NO: 2 (see sequence alignment result, Cao et al., A_Geneseq_101002 database, Accession NO: AAW96182, April 27, 1999). This reads on claims 19, 20 and 21, which has an isolated polypeptide comprising an amino acid sequence selected from the group consisting of SEQ ID NO: 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18 and 19, wherein said peptide is less than one-hundred amino acids in length (claim 19); on claim 29, which has an isolated polypeptide comprising the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 2. See the sequence alignment attached to the Cao et al. reference. As to claims 20 and 21, the Rothe et al. reference discloses a composition comprising the IKK-alpha polypeptide (page 3, line 1) that would have been the composition that contains the peptide of claim 19. Applicants' arguments have been considered but found unpersuasive because the peptide of Cao et al. reference has nine amino acid residues, which is less than one-hundred amino acid residues (see sequence alignment result of AAW96182), thus anticipating claims 19-21, 29 of instant application.

Claims 19, 28, 30 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by Cole et al. ("Deciphering the biology of Mycobacterium tuberculosis from the complete genome sequence," Nature, vol. 393, pp 537-544, June 11, 1998). Cole et al. teach an uracil-DNA glycosylase protein, Rv2975c from Mycobacterium tuberculosis, H37Rv strain (see abstract, Fig 5, Table 1), which has 100% sequence identity to SEQ ID NO: 3 (see sequence alignment result, Cole et al., PIR_76, Accession NO: D70672, July 17, 1998). This reads on claim 19, which has an isolated polypeptide comprising an amino acid sequence selected from the group consisting of SEQ ID NO: 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18 and 19, wherein said peptide is less than one-hundred amino acids in length (claim 19); on claim 28, which has an isolated polypeptide comprising an amino acid sequence selected from the group consisting of SEQ ID NO: 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18 and 19; on claim 30, which has an isolated

Art Unit: 1653

polypeptide comprising the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 3. The peptide of Cole et al. reference has 84 amino acid residues, which is less than one-hundred amino acid residues (claim 19), thus anticipating claims 19, 28 and 30 of instant application. See the sequence alignment attached to the Cole et al. reference.

Conclusion

Claims 19-21, 28-46 are rejected. Claim 22 is allowable.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Inquiries

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Rita Mitra whose telephone number is (571) 272-0954. The Examiner can normally be reached from 9:30 a.m. to 6:30 p.m. on weekdays. If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Dr. Christopher Low, can be reached at (571) 272-0951. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technology Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The Fax Center number is

Art Unit: 1653

Page 5

(703) 872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-0547.

Rita Mitra, Ph.D.

March 3, 2004

KAREN COCHRANE CARLSON, PH.D